Wanhua Wu, Jianzhang Zhao,\* Jifu Sun, and Song Guo

State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemi[cals](#page-6-0), School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [Visible light-h](#page-6-0)arvesting  $C_{60}$ -bodipy dyads were devised as universal organic triplet photosensitizers for triplet− triplet annihilation (TTA) upconversion. The antennas in the dyad were used to harvest the excitation energy, and then the singlet excited state of  $C_{60}$  will be populated via the intramolecular energy transfer from the antenna to  $C_{60}$  unit.



In turn with the intrinsic intersystem crossing (ISC) of the  $C_{60}$  the triplet excited state of the  $C_{60}$  will be produced. Thus, without any heavy atoms, the triplet excited states of organic dyads are populated upon photoexcitation. Different from  $C_{60}$ , the dyads show strong absorption of visible light at 515 nm (C-1,  $\varepsilon$  = 70400 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) or 590 nm (C-2,  $\varepsilon$  = 82500 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). Efficient intramolecular energy transfer from the bodipy moieties to  $C_{60}$  unit and localization of the triplet excited state on  $C_{60}$ were confirmed by steady-state and time-resolved spectroscopy as well as DFT calculations. The dyads were used as triplet photosensitizers for TTA upconversion, and an upconversion quantum yield up to 7.0% was observed. We propose that  $C_{60}$ − organic chromophore dyads can be used as a general molecular structural motif for organic triplet photosensitizers, which can be used for photocatalysis, photodynamic therapy, and TTA upconversions.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Upconversions have attracted much attention because of their applications in photovoltaics, photocatalysis, photoinduced charge separation, and molecular probes.1−<sup>13</sup> Among the upconversion methods, such as using rare earth metal materials<sup>1,2</sup> and two-photon-absorption dy[es](#page-6-0),<sup>[3,4](#page-7-0)</sup> the triplet− triplet annihilation (TTA) upconversion is particularly interesti[ng](#page-6-0)5−<sup>7</sup> for its advantages over th[e](#page-6-0) conventional upconversion methods.5−<sup>13</sup> For example, TTA upconversion requires n[o](#page-6-0)[nc](#page-7-0)oherent low-power excitation, and it shows intense absorption of [t](#page-6-0)[he](#page-7-0) excitation light as well as high upconversion quantum yields.<sup>5a,6,7a</sup>

Triplet photosensitizers and triplet acceptors (annihilator, emitter) are [u](#page-6-0)sed in TTA u[pcon](#page-7-0)version.<sup>5a,6,7a</sup> The photosensitizers are selectively photoexcited in the presence of triplet acceptor, and then the triplet excited st[ate](#page-6-0)[s o](#page-7-0)f the photosensitizers are populated, via the intersystem crossing (ISC) effect, very often exerted by heavy atoms such as  $Pt(II)$ ,  $Ir(III)$ , or iodine substituents.  $5a,6,7a$  The photoexcitation energy migrates to the triplet acceptor from the photosensitizer by the triplet−triplet energ[y-t](#page-6-0)[rans](#page-7-0)fer (TTET) process. Then the TTA between the triplet acceptors produces the singlet excited state, and the delayed fluorescence (upconverted fluorescence) can be observed (for the photophysical mechanism, see Scheme  $2)$ .<sup>5a,6,7a</sup>

Currently, the challenge for the development of TTA [u](#page-5-0)p[co](#page-6-0)[nve](#page-7-0)rsion is the limited availability of the triplet photosensitizers.<sup>6</sup> Usually the sensitizers are phosphorescent transition-metal complexes, such as Pt(II)/Pd(II) porphyrin  $complexes, \frac{14-17}{14}$  $complexes, \frac{14-17}{14}$  $complexes, \frac{14-17}{14}$  Ru(II) polyimine complexes,  $\frac{11}{12}$ ,  $\frac{18}{12}$  cyclometalated Pt(II) complexes,<sup>10,19,20</sup> Ir(III) complexes,<sup>8,21</sup> and

Pt(II) acetylide complexes.<sup>22,23</sup> However, the photophysical properties of these complexes cannot be readily optimized and they are not cost-effective. [There](#page-7-0)fore, similar to the scenario of the dye-sensitized solar cells,<sup>24−27</sup> to replace the transition metal complex sensitizers with organic triplet photosensitizers will be the next milestone for [TTA](#page-7-0) upconversion.

Unfortunately, it is challenging to prepare organic triplet photosensitizers because the ISC is very often nonefficient without the heavy atom effect of Pt, Ir, Ru, and  $L^{28}$  Only sporadic examples of organic triplet sensitizers for TTA upconversion have been report[ed](#page-7-0), such as  $2,3$ -butanedione<sup>29</sup> and 2,4,5,7-tetraiodo-6-hydroxy-3-fluorone.<sup>30a</sup> Eosin and the derivatives have also been used as triplet sensitizers [in](#page-7-0) photochemical reactions.30b However, th[ese](#page-7-0) organic triplet photosensitizers suffer from disadvantages of either short excitation wavelength (i[n U](#page-7-0)V range) or resorting to heavy atom effect (iodo atom), and it is difficult to functionalize the molecular structures to tune the photophysical properties.<sup>6</sup> Recently, we prepared organic triplet photosensitizers based on boron−dipyrromethene (BODIPY) and naphthalenediimid[e](#page-7-0) (NDI) that shows tunable absorption wavelength.<sup>13</sup> However, the heavy atom effect is still mandatory for these organic triplet photosensitizers.<sup>13</sup> Since it is not always convenien[t to](#page-7-0) prepared iodated organic chromophore, and the ISC property of the iodated chromo[ph](#page-7-0)ore cannot be guaranteed, we set out to prepare organic triplet photosensitizers without any heavy atoms. However, without the heavy atom effect, it becomes

Received: March 31, 2012 Published: May 22, 2012

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

 $^a$ The structures of perylene (triplet acceptor in the upconversion) and  $\rm{iodo}\textrm{-}BDP$  and  $\rm{BisBDPI}$  used as the standard of upconversion quantum yield were also shown.

<sup>b</sup>Key: (i) 5-formyl-2-thiopheneboronic acid, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; (ii) sarcosine, C<sub>60</sub>, toluene, reflux; (iii) NIS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 40 °C; (iv) 4ethynylbenzaldehyde, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, CuI, THF/Et<sub>3</sub>N; (v) 2-thiopheneboronic acid, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; (vi) phenylacetylene, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $PPh_3$ , CuI, THF/Et<sub>3</sub>N.

almost impossible to predict whether or not an organic chromophore will undergo ISC upon photoexcitation.

Herein, we propose a universal strategy to address this challenge, that is, to use the light-harvesting organic fluorophore−fullerene  $(C_{60})$  dyads as a general molecular structure motif for the heavy atom free organic triplet photosensitizers. These dyads show strong absorption of visible light, and the molecular structure can be readily changed by using different light-harvesting antennas. More importantly, the population of the triplet excited states of these dyads upon photoexcitation is predetermined. Thus, design of organic triplet photosensitizers will become feasible. The  $C_{60}$ -bodipy dyads were used for TTA upconversion (C-1 and C-2, Scheme 1), and significant upconversion quantum yields were observed.

### 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Design and Synthesis of the  $C_{60}$ −bodipy Dyads.  $C_{60}$  is used as the intramolecular energy acceptor and the spin convertor, because of its intrinsic capability of ISC, with which the triplet excited state of  $C_{60}$  can be efficiently populated, without resorting to heavy atom effect (the quantum yield of the triplet excited state of  $C_{60}$  upon photoexcitation is close to unity).31−<sup>34</sup> It should be noted that the visible light-harvesting bodipy units in dyads C-1 and C-2 (the intramolecular energy *donor*) [a](#page-7-0)r[e](#page-7-0) mandatory because  $C_{60}$  alone gives a very weak absorption in the visible range.<sup>31,32,35</sup>  $C_{60}$  has been extensively studied in organic solar cells, supramolecular chemistry,<sup>36</sup> but its ISC capability in a C<sub>60</sub>-[organic](#page-7-0) chromophore dyad has rarely been explored for sensitizing a photophysical pro[ces](#page-7-0)s.<sup>35c</sup> Previously, fluorene−C<sub>60</sub> dyads was reported for singlet oxygen sensitizing, but dyads show moderate absorption in visi[ble](#page-7-0) range.<sup>35c</sup> The delayed fluorescence of  $C_{70}$  was used for  $O_2$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>sensing.<sup>34a</sup> In order to enhance the absorption of the C<sub>60</sub> dyads in the visible range, bodipy was selected as the light-harvesting antenn[a be](#page-7-0)cause of its ideal photophysical properties, such as strong absorption of visible light, good photostability, and derivatizable molecular structures.37,38

Thienyl-substituted bodipy C-1-L shows strong absorption of visible light.<sup>38</sup> The excitation e[nergy](#page-7-0) harvested by the Bodipy antennas can be transferred to  $C_{60}$  via the Föster mechanism. The triplet st[ate](#page-7-0) of  $C_{60}$  will be populated via its intrinsic ISC capability (the efficiency is up to  $95\%$ ).<sup>32</sup> Thus, C-1 can be used as an organic triplet photosensitizer, which is without any transition metal atoms or heavy atoms su[ch](#page-7-0) as  $Pt(II)$ ,  $Ir(III)$ , or iodine atoms. Similar rationales are applicable to C-2, in which the bis-Bodipy C-2-L moiety shows red-shifted absorption compared to that of C-1-L.

The preparation of the antenna is based on Suzuki or Sonogashira cross coupling. Because of the versatile derivatization of bodipy, the  $\pi$ -conjugation framework is readily extended. Thus, the absorption can be moved to the red range of the spectrum  $(C-2-L)$ . The antenna was attached to the  $C_{60}$  unit by a Prato reaction. All of the compounds were obtained in moderate to satisfying yields (see the Experimental Section for details).

2.2. UV-vis Absorption and Fluorescenc[e Spectra of](#page-5-0) [the Co](#page-5-0)mpounds. An intense absorption at 513 nm is found for **C-1-L**  $(\varepsilon = 69000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  (Figure 1a). Conversely, C<sub>60</sub>



Figure 1. UV−vis absorption spectra of (a) C-1 and (b) C-2 and the antennas of the dyads.  $c = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M in toluene, 20 °C.

alone shows very weak absorption at 513 nm (e.g.,  $\varepsilon = 1230$  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>). However, an intense absorption at 515 nm ( $\varepsilon$  = 70400  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) is observed for the dyad C-1, which is the sum of  $C_{60}$  and C-1-L, indicates no significant electronic communication between the energy donor (bodipy unit) and acceptor  $(C_{60}$  unit) at the ground state.

Similar results were observed for C-2 (Figure 1b). C-2-L unit gives red-shifted absorption at 589 nm compared to C-1-L. Similar to C-1, the absorption of C-2 is the sum of C-2-L and  $C_{60}$  (Figure 1b).

 $C_{60}$  does show weak absorption beyond 500 nm, which is crucial for the intramolecular energy transfer from bodipy to  $C_{60}$  unit. The weak absorption of  $C_{60}$  in the visible range indicates weakly allowed  $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$  transitions, but it does not necessarily mean that the intramolecular energy transfer from the bodipy antenna to  $C_{60}$  is weakly allowed. On the contrary, efficient energy transfers from the energy donors (bodipy) in **C-1** and **C-2** to  $C_{60}$  units were observed (Figure 2).

The photoinduced intramolecular energy transfer of dyads C-1 and C-2 was confirmed by the luminescence study (Figure 2). The C-1-L alone gives intense fluorescence at 594 nm  $(\Phi_F)$ = 20.6%). For  $C_{60}$ , however, no emission was observed with excitation at 510 nm under the same conditions. This lack of



Figure 2. (a) Fluorescence emission spectra of C-1-L, C-1, and  $C_{60}$  $(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 510 \text{ nm})$ . (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of C-2-L, C-2, and C<sub>60</sub>. In toluene,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 570 nm, 1.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M, 20 °C.

emission is due to the extremely weak absorption of  $C_{60}$  at 510 nm as well as its low fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi_F$  <  $0.1\%$ ).  $31,32$ 

Dyad C-1 is weakly fluorescent at 594 nm (C-1-L gives strong [emi](#page-7-0)ssion). Emission at 710 nm was observed for C-1, which can be assigned to the emission of  $C_{60}$  unit. These two observations are clear indications of the intramolecular energy transfer from bodipy to the  $C_{60}$  unit in C-1. The intramolecular energy transfer is efficient because the fluorescence emission of C-1-L is completely quenched in C-1. Similar studies were carried out for C-2 (Figure 2b). However, determination of the exact energy transfer efficiency is not straightforward,  $37$ although the quenched fluorescence of the energy donor were used for the determination of the energy trans[fer](#page-7-0) efficiency in some cases. However, the nonemissive excited state of the energy donor (antenna) was not considered with this method.

We found that similar intramolecular energy transfer also occurs for C-2 (Figure 2b). The fluorescence of C-2-L was significantly quenched in dyad C-2 (Figure 2b), which indicates efficient energy transfer from bis-bodipy to  $C_{60}$  moiety. Emission of  $C_{60}$  in C-2 is probably buried in the residual emission of C-2-L. The photophysical properties of the compounds are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Photophysical Parameters of the  $C_{60}$  Dyads and the Components<sup>a</sup>

	$\lambda_{\rm abs}$	$\varepsilon^b$	$\lambda_{\rm em}$	$\Phi_{\rm E}^{\rm c}$ (%)	τ
$C_{60}$	336	6.19			40.6 $\mu$ s <sup>e</sup>
$C-1-L$	513	6.90	594	20.6	$3.2 \text{ ns}^f$
$C-2-L$	589	8.08	627	62.0	$1.7 \text{ ns}^f$
$C-1$	515	7.04	710		33.3 $\mu s^e$
$C-2$	590	8.25	627	1.7 <sup>d</sup>	35.2 $\mu$ s <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>In toluene (1.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M). <sup>b</sup>Molar extinction coefficient at the absorption maxima.  $\varepsilon$ : 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>c</sup>With 1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-8phenyl-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene as the standard ( $\Phi$  = 72.0% in THF). <sup>d</sup> With 2,6-diiodo-4,4-difluoro-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-8-phenyl-4 bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (Iodo-BDP in Scheme 1) as the standard  $(\Phi = 2.7\% \text{ in MeCN})$ . <sup>e</sup>Triplet state lifetimes, measured by transient absorptions. *F* Fluorescence lifetimes.

2.3. Nanosecond Time-Resolved Transient Difference Absorption Spectroscopy. In order to confirm the population of the triplet excited state of the dyads upon photoexcitation, nanosecond time-resolved transient difference absorption spectroscopy were studied (Figure 3). Significant transient absorption at 720 nm was observed for C-1 upon pulsed excitation, which is the characteristic abs[or](#page-3-0)ption of the

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3. (a) Nanosecond time-resolved transient difference absorption spectra of C-1 ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 532 nm). (b) Decay traces of the transient absorption of C-1 at 720 nm. In deaerated toluene, 25 °C.

triplet excited state of  $C_{60}$ .<sup>32a</sup> No bleaching of the bodipy unit at 515 nm was found, where the ground state of bodipy shows strong absorption, indicat[ing](#page-7-0) that the triplet excited state of the dyad is exclusively localized on  $C_{60}$ , not on the bodipy unit. We attribute this  $C_{60}$ -localized triplet state to the lower  $T_1$  state energy level of  $\widetilde{C}_{60}$   $(1.50 \text{ eV})^{39}$  rather than **C-1-L**  $(1.51 \text{ eV})$ approximated by DFT calculations). The transient was significantly quenched in aera[ted](#page-7-0) solution, which confirms its triplet-state feature, excluding formation of intramolecular charge-transfer species (Figure S21, Supporting Information). $3^{2a}$  The lifetime of the triplet excited state of the dyad was determined to be 33.3  $\mu$ s by monitori[ng the decay kinetics at](#page-6-0) [7](#page-6-0)2[0 n](#page-7-0)m (Figure 3), which is slightly shorter than the triplet excited state lifetime of the unsubstituted  $C_{60}$  (40.6  $\mu$ s) (Table 1).31,40

Similar transient absorption spectra were observed for C-2 [\(F](#page-2-0)[igure](#page-7-0) S23, Supporting Information). A  $C_{60}$ -localized T<sub>1</sub> excited state was observed for C-2 ( $\tau$ <sub>T</sub> = 35.2  $\mu$ s, Figure S23, Supporting Inf[ormation, and Table 1\). I](#page-6-0)t is remarkable that the energy level of the  $T_1$  state of C-2-L is not significantly [decreased compared t](#page-6-0)o that of C-1-L, indicated by the localiz[at](#page-2-0)ion of the triplet excited state on  $C_{60}$  unit, not the C-2-L unit in C-2, although the absorption of C-2 is red-shifted by 76 nm vs C-1-L. This high  $T_1$  state energy level may be due to the unique distribution of the spin density of the  $T_1$  state of a similar chromophore; that is, the spin density is localized on one of the two Bodipy units of the antenna.<sup>13a</sup> In other words, the limited  $\pi$ -conjugation of the  $T_1$  state, not fully delocalized in the  $\pi$ -conjugation framework of the [ch](#page-7-0)romophore, is responsible for the apparently high  $T_1$  state energy level of the antenna C-2-L in  $C-2$ <sup>13a</sup>

2.4. Spin Density Analysis of the Dyads. In order to confirm the  $C_{60}$ -localized T<sub>1</sub> state of the dyads, the spin density surfaces were studied (Figure 4). For both C-1 and C-2, the spin densities are exclusively localized on  $C_{60}$ , and the lightharvesting bodipy does not contribute to the spin density surfaces. This result is in full agreement with the transient difference absorption spectroscopy (Figure 3 and Figure S23, Supporting Information).

2.5. C<sub>60</sub>−bodipy Dyads as Organic Triplet Photo[sensitizers for TTA Up](#page-6-0)conversion. The  $C_{60}$  dyads C-1 and C-2 were used as organic triplet photosensitizers for TTA upconversion (Figure 5, perylene as the triplet acceptor).  $5a,6,7a$ 



Figure 5. TTA upconversion with (a) C-1 ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 532 nm) and (b) C-2 ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 589 nm) as the triplet photosensitizers (1.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M) and perylene as the acceptor (4.1  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> M). The asterisks in (a) and (b) indicate the scattered laser. In toluene. Excited with CW lasers (532 and 589 nm), 12 mW, 20 °C.

For C-1, weak fluorescence of  $C_{60}$  was observed at 710 nm upon laser excitation. Upon addition of perylene, an intensive blue emission at 470 nm was observed. Irradiation of perylene alone at 532 nm did not produce this emission band, thus the upconversion with C-1/perylene is confirmed. The upconversion quantum yield  $(\Phi_{\text{UC}})$  with C-1 was determined as 2.9% (Table 2). This value is significant considering the intense

Table 2. Triplet Excited-State Lifetimes  $(\tau_T)$ , Stern–Volmer Quenching Constant  $(K_{SV})$ , and Bimolecular Quenching Constants  $(k_q)$  of the Chromophore/C<sub>60</sub> Dyads<sup>a</sup>

	$\tau_{\rm T}$ $(\mu s)$	$K_{SV}$ $(10^4 \text{ M}^{-1})$	$(10^9 \text{ M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ s}^{-1})$	$\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ (%)	$n^d$
$C-1$	33.3	3.19	0.96	2.9 <sup>b</sup>	1303
$C-2$	35.2	4.40	1.25	7.0 <sup>c</sup>	5769
$C_{60}$	40.6	1.01	0.25	$2.4^b$	30.9
<b>BisBDPI</b>	26.9	28.9	10.7	$11.3^{b}$	6171

<sup>a</sup> Perylene was used as the quencher. In deaerated toluene solution, 20 <sup>o</sup>C. <sup>b</sup>Excited with 532 nm laser, with the prompt fluorescence of 2iodo-4,4-difluoro-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-8-phenyl-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene as the standard (compound **iodo-BDP** in Scheme 1.  $\Phi = 2.7\%$ in MeCN). Excited with 589 nm laser, with the prompt fluorescence of BisBDPI (Scheme 1) as the standard ( $\Phi = 10.5\%$  in toluene). <sup>d</sup>Overall upconversion capability,<sup>6</sup>  $\eta = \varepsilon \times \Phi_{\text{UC}}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  [is](#page-1-0) the molar extinction coefficient of the triplet photosensitizer at the excitation wavelength and  $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$  i[s](#page-1-0) the up[co](#page-7-0)nversion quantum yield.<sup>5a</sup> In M<sup>-1</sup>  $cm^{-1}$ . .



Figure 4. Spin-density surface of C-1 and C-2 at the triplet state. Calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level with Gaussian 09W.

absorption of  $C-1$ ,  $\acute{o}$  since the overall upconversion capability can be more precisely evaluated by  $\eta = \varepsilon \times \Phi_{\text{UC}}$  ( $\varepsilon$  is the molar exti[n](#page-7-0)ction coefficients of the sensitizer),<sup>6</sup> instead of the  $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ value alone.<sup>5a</sup> C<sub>60</sub> alone as the sensitizer also shows upconversion ( $\Phi_{\text{UC}} = 2.4\%$ ). However,  $C_{60}$  shows very weak absorption at [53](#page-6-0)2 nm ( $\varepsilon = 1289 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ); thus, the  $\eta$  value of  $C_{60}$  ( $\eta = \varepsilon \times \Phi_{UC} = 30.9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) is at least 40-fold weaker than that of C-1 ( $\eta = \varepsilon \times \Phi_{\text{UC}} = 44960 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \times 2.9\% =$ 1303 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).

C-2 shows red-shifted absorption (590 nm). Significant upconversion was observed with C-2 (Figure 5b,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 589 nm). The  $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$  was determined as 7.0% (Table 2). Interestingly, with  $C_{60}$  [as](#page-3-0) the sensitizer no upconversion was observed with excitation at 589 nm laser, due to the mu[ch](#page-3-0) weaker absorption of  $C_{60}$  at 589 nm. These results demonstrated that the lightharvesting of the dyads is crucial for TTA upconversion with the  $C_{60}$  dyads. Upconversion with C-1 and C-2 are visible with the unaided eye (Figure 6a and 6b). Both C-1 and C-2 are



Figure 6. Photographs of the emissions of triplet sensitizers alone and the upconversion. TTA upconversion with (a) C-1 ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 532 nm) and (b) C-2 ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 589 nm) as the triplet photosensitizers (1.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M) and perylene as the triplet acceptor  $(4.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ . In toluene. Excited with CW lasers, 12 mW, 20 °C.

photostable, and no bleaching was observed (Figure S29, Supporting Information). We noted that the TTA upconversions of C-1 and C-2 are comparable to those of iodo-Bodipys.13a

[In](#page-6-0) [order](#page-6-0) [to](#page-6-0) [unambigu](#page-6-0)ously confirm that the blue emission observe[d fo](#page-7-0)r the mixed solution of dyads and the acceptor perylene (Figure 5) is due to the TTA upconversion, the lifetime of the upconverted emission was measured (Figure 7).



Figure 7. (a) Delayed fluorescence observed in the TTA upconversion with compound C-1 as triplet photosensitizer and perylene as the triplet acceptor. Excited at 532 nm (nanosecond pulsed OPO laser synchronized with spectrofluorometer) and monitored at 470 nm. Under this circumstance, the compound C-1 is selectively excited and the emission is due to the upconverted emission of perylene. In deaerated toluene.  $c$ [sensitizers] = 1.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M;  $c$ [perylene] = 4.1 × 10<sup>−</sup><sup>4</sup> M; 20 °C. (b) The prompt fluorescence decay of perylene determined in a different experiment (excited with picosecond 405 nm laser, the decay of the emission was monitored at 470 nm), c[perylene]  $= 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M; 20 °C.

The lifetime of the emission for C-1/perylene was determined as 60.5  $\mu$ s. In a different experiment, the lifetime of the prompt fluorescence of perylene alone was determined as 4.0 ns. Thus, the exceptionally long-lived fluorescence of perylene in the presence of C-1 (15000-fold of the prompt fluorescence lifetime of perylene) can be assigned to the TTA upconversion, i.e., the delayed fluorescence.<sup>9d</sup> Similar results were found for C-2/perylene; in this case, the lifetime of the delayed fluorescence of perylene was [de](#page-7-0)termined as 61.2  $\mu$ s (see Figure S28, Supporting Information). These time-resolved emission studies of the samples in Figure 5 unambiguously demonstrated that [the emission is due to th](#page-6-0)e TTA upconversion.

The triplet−triplet energy t[ra](#page-3-0)nsfer (TTET) of the TTA upconversion were studied by monitoring the quenching of the triplet state lifetimes of the dyads by the triplet acceptor perylene (Figure 8).<sup>5a,6</sup> Smaller  $K_{SV}$  values for C-1 ( $K_{SV}$  = 3.19



Figure 8. Stern−Volmer plots generated from the triplet excited-state lifetime  $(\tau_T)$  quenching curves of the compounds measured as a function of perylene concentration. In toluene, 20 °C.

 $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>−1</sup>) and **C-2** (K<sub>SV</sub> = 4.40  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>−1</sup>) were observed compared to that of the BisBDPI (Scheme 1), which has a shorter  $\tau_{\rm T}$  value ( $K_{\rm SV}$  = 2.89  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>, Table 2). Usually, photosensitizers with a long-lived triplet exci[te](#page-1-0)d state should give high TTET quenching constants. The appare[n](#page-3-0)tly smaller  $K_{SV}$  values of C-1 and C-2 may be due to the large molecular size of the  $C_{60}$  dyads compared to BisBDPI (Scheme 1). Large molecular size may make the diffusion of the sensitizers in solution much slower. Furthermore, the distribution o[f t](#page-1-0)he spin density on the bulky spherical  $C_{60}$  moiety may also make the TTET process less efficient. However, the TTA upconversion performance with the  $C_{60}$  dyads are not compromised, due to the versatility of the  $C_{60}$  dyads, such as the feasibly tunable molecular structure, strong absorption of visible light, long-lived triplet excited state, and most importantly the heavy atomindependent predetermined ISC capability.

The photophysical processes involved in the TTA upconversion with the  $C_{60}$  dyads as the triplet photosensitizer are summarized in Scheme 2, exemplified with C-2. First, the singlet excited state of the bodipy antenna in C-2 was populated upon photoexcit[at](#page-5-0)ion (589 nm). Then the intramolecular Föster energy transfer from the antenna to the  $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ unit will produce the singlet excited state of  $C_{60}$ . With the intrinsic ISC property of  $C_{60}$ , the triplet excited state of  $C_{60}$  was populated. With time-resolved spectroscopy and the spin density surface analysis, we proved that the triplet excited state of dyad C-2 is localized on  $C_{60}$ . The TTET between the C-2 and the triplet acceptor perylene will produce the triplet excited state of the acceptors. TTA will give acceptor molecules at the singlet excited states. Radiative decay from the singlet excited state produces the upconverted fluorescence (delayed fluorescence). From these processes, it is clear that the light-

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Scheme 2. Jablonski Diagram of Photophysics of the  $C_{60}$ − bodipy Dyads and the Application of Dyads as Triplet Photosensitizers for Triplet−Triplet Annihilation (TTA) Upconversion Triplet States of the Sensitizers and Acceptors Are Nonemissive) $^{a}$ 



a Exemplified with C-2 as the triplet photosensitizer. The effect of the light-harvesting ability and the lifetimes of the sensitizers on the efficiency of TTA upconversion are shown (please note that the vibration energy levels of each electronic state are omitted for clarity). E is energy. GS is ground state  $(S_0)$ . <sup>1</sup>(\*BDP-C<sub>60</sub>) is singlet excited state localized on BDP, and  $(BDP*C_{60})$  is singlet excited state localized on fullerene. IC is inner conversion. ISC is intersystem crossing.  ${}^{3}$ (BDP- ${}^{*}C_{60}$ ) is the triplet excited state localized on fullerene. TTET is triplet−triplet energy transfer. <sup>3</sup> perylene\* is the triplet excited state of perylene. TTA is triplet–triplet annihilation. <sup>1</sup>perylene\* is the singlet excited state of perylene (initially the hot, or the vibrationally excited  $S_1$  state will be populated). The emission band observed in the TTA experiment is the <sup>1</sup>perylene\* emission (fluorescence). The typical power density of the laser used in the upconversion is 170 mW cm<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> , too low to observe simultaneous two-photon absorption.

harvesting ability of the antenna, the lifetime of the triplet excited state of the dyad, and the TTA efficiency are the main factors that influence the performance of TTA upconversion.

**2.6. Conclusions.** In conclusion, visible light-harvesting  $C_{60}$ dyads were devised as a general molecular structure motif for heavy atom-free organic triplet photosensitizers. The dyads are based on visible light-harvesting organic chromophores (energy donor, herein it is bodipy) and the spin converter  $C_{60}$  (energy acceptor). Different from  $C_{60}$ , the dyads show strong absorption of visible light (at 515 and 590 nm, respectively). Steady-state luminescence indicated efficient intramolecular energy transfer from the bodipy antenna to the  $C_{60}$  energy acceptor. Nanosecond time-resolved transient absorption and spin density analysis proved that the triplet excited states of the dyads are localized on the  $C_{60}$  moieties. The dyads were used as triplet organic photosensitizers for triplet−triplet annihilation upconversion, and quantum yield up to 7.0% was observed. Our results pave the way for design of heavy atom-free organic triplet photosensitizers with the predetermined intersystem crossing property. To replace the currently used phosphorescent transition-metal complex, triplet photosensitizers with these heavy atom free  $C_{60}$  dyads as organic triplet photosensitizers will exert substantial impact in the areas such as TTA upconversion, photocatalysis, photovoltaics, and photodynamic therapy reagents (PDT).

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

3.1. General Methods. Fluorescence lifetimes were measured on an OB920 luminescence lifetime spectrometer. The nanosecond timeresolved transient absorption spectra were detected by an LP920 laser flash photolysis spectrometer. The transient signals were recorded on a digital oscilloscope. The lifetime values (by monitoring the decay trace

of the transients) were obtained with LP900 software. All samples in flash photolysis experiments were deaerated with Ar for ca. 15 min before measurement, and the gas flow was maintained during the measurements. Syntheses of compounds 1 and 3 were reported elsewhere.<sup>13a</sup>

3.2. Synthesis of Compound 2. Under Ar atmosphere, 5-formyl-2-thiophe[neb](#page-7-0)oronic acid (102.9 mg, 0.66 mmol) was added to the solution of compound 1 (100.0 mg, 0.22 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) and ethanol (5 mL), and after thorough mixing,  $K_3PO_4.3H_2O$  (117.2 mg, 0.44 mmol) and  $Pd(OAc)_2$  (2.6 mg, 0.013 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated to 80 °C and was allowed to reflux for 8 h. After complete consumption of the starting material, the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude product was further purified using column chromatography (silica gel,  $CH_2Cl_2/h$ exane = 1:1, v/v) to give 2 as a red power (40.0 mg). Yield: 41.9%. Mp: 173.2− 174.6 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 9.87 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz), 7.52 (d, 3H, J = 5.1 Hz), 7.31 (d, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz), 6.95 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz), 6.07 (s, 1H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 191.7, 158.0, 155.8, 155.3, 137.9, 137.5, 131.7, 130.4, 130.3, 114.7, 113.0, 96.8, 46.5, 12.9. MALDI-HRMS: calcd  $([C_{24}H_{21}BF_2N_2OS]^+)$   $m/z = 434.1436$ , found  $m/z = 434.1436$ .

3.3. Synthesis of Compound 4. N-Iodosuccinimide (NIS) (140 mg, 0.62 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was added dropwise into a solution of compound 4 (200 mg, 0.62 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) within ca. 1 h at rt. After the addition, the reaction mixture was stirred at 40 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2:1, v/v). The second band was collected to give the product as a purple solid (191.7 mg). Yield: 68.7%. Mp: >250 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.53–7.49 (m, 6H), 7.26−7.23 (m, 4H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 2.65 (s, 9H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 6H), 1.40 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 159.5, 158.9, 157.7, 157.6, 146.1, 145.7, 144.0, 142.2, 134.5, 133.1, 132.4, 130.4, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 115.1, 113.6, 86.2, 80.9, 80.1, 76.1, 75.0, 17.1, 14.8, 13.6, 13.3. MALDI-HRMS: calcd  $([C_{42}H_{35}B_2F_4N_4I]^+)$   $m/z = 820.2029$ , found  $m/z = 820.2050$ .

3.4. Synthesis of Compound 5. Under  $N<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere, compound 4 (40.0 mg, 0.05 mmol), 4-ethynylbenzaldehyde (13.0, 0.10 mmol),  $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$  (3.5 mg, 0.005 mmol),  $PPh_3$  (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol), and CuI (2.0 mg, 0.01 mmol) were dissolved in a mixed solvent of THF/Et<sub>3</sub>N (3 mL/2 mL), and the flask was vacuumed and backfilled with Ar several times. The mixture was heated at 50 °C for 6 h. The solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ $CH_2Cl_2$ , 2:1, v/v). The dark purple band was collected, and evaporation of solvent gave a blue solid (35.1 mg). Yield: 85.5%. Mp: >250 °C. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.00 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.59 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.54−7.50 (m, 6H), 7.26 (m, 4H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 191.5, 171.9, 160.3, 159.0, 157.5, 146.2, 145.7, 142.4, 135.4, 133.2, 131.8, 130.0, 129.6, 129.5, 127.9, 127.8, 121.8, 115.9, 115.8, 80.1, 79.1, 76.4, 76.1, 14.8, 14.3, 13.7, 13.3. MALDI-HRMS: calcd  $([C_{51}H_{40}B_2F_4N_4O]^+$  m/z = 822.3324, found m/z = 822.3253.

3.5. Synthesis of Compound C-1-L. Compound C-1-L was obtained following a procedure similar to that for compound 2, except 2-thiopheneboronic acid (52.3 mg, 0.44 mmol) was used instead of 5 formyl-2-thiopheneboronic acid. A red solid was obtained (56.6 mg). Yield: 63.4%. Mp: 155.5−156.8 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.51−7.47 (m, 3H), 7.347−7.30 (m, 3H), 7.07 (t, 1H, J = 5.1 Hz), 6.84 (d, 1H,  $J = 3.4$  Hz), 6.02 (s, 1H), 2.58 (s, 6H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.36  $(s, 3H)$ . <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 156.7, 154.3, 144.1, 142.3, 140.3, 135.2, 134.7, 132.1, 130.9, 129.4, 129.2, 128.1, 127.8, 127.3, 126.0, 121.9, 30.5, 14.9, 14.7, 13.5, 12.9. TOF HRMS ESI<sup>+</sup>: calcd  $([C_{23}H_{21}BF_2N_2S]^+)$   $m/z = 406.1487$ , found  $m/z = 406.1498$ .

3.6. Synthesis of Compound C-2-L. Compound C-2-L was obtained following a procedure similar to that for compound 5, except ethynylbenzene (13.0 mg, 0.12 mmol) was used instead of 4 ethynylbenzaldehyde. A blue solid was obtained (22.6 mg). Yield: 95.0%. Mp: >250 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.52–7.45 (m,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>9H), 7.35 −7.26 (m, 6H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 2.72 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 160.1, 158.9, 157.4, 146.1, 145.5, 142.2, 135.2, 134.4, 134.1, 133.0, 131.7, 129.8, 129.6, 129.5, 129.3, 127.8, 127.7, 125.8, 121.6, 115.6, 86.2, 79.9, 78.9, 76.2, 75.9, 14.6, 14.1, 13.5, 13.2. MALDI-HRMS: calcd  $([C_{50}H_{40}B_2F_4N_4]^+)$  $m/z = 794.3375$ , found  $m/z = 794.3428$ .

**3.7. Synthesis of Compound C-1.** Under  $N_2$  atmosphere, compound 2 (35.0 mg, 0.08 mmol) and sarcosine (24.0 mg, 0.27 mmol) were added to the solution of  $C_{60}$  (57.6 mg, 0.08 mmol) in toluene (50 mL). The mixture was heated to 110 °C, and the mixture was refluxed for 22 h and then cooled slowly to ambient temperature. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, toluene/hexane, 5:1, v/v). A red solid was obtained (32.5 mg). Yield: 34.4%. Mp: >250  $^{\circ}$ C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.47 (m, 3H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.26  $(m, 2H)$ , 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz), 4.27 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.4$  Hz), 2.95 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 156.9, 156.0, 154.1, 153.4, 153.1, 150.2, 147.5, 146.5, 146.3, 146.1, 145.7, 145.5, 144.9, 144.5, 144.3, 143.4, 142.8, 142.3, 142.1, 141.7, 140.3, 137.1, 135.1, 129.4, 129.3, 128.3, 128.1, 127.2, 122.0, 79.6, 70.1, 68.9, 40.6, 29.9, 14.7, 12.9. MALDI-HRMS calcd ( $[C_{86}H_{26}BF_2N_3S]^T$ )  $m/z =$ 1181.1909, found  $m/z = 1181.1980$ . Anal. Calcd for  $C_{86}H_{26}BF_2N_3S +$ 0.5C7H8 + 0.2DMF: C, 87.08; H, 2.57; N, 3.60. Found: C, 87.13; H, 2.75; N, 3.60.

3.8. Synthesis of Compound C-2. Compound C-2 was obtained following a procedure similar to that for compound C-1, except compound 5 (30.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) was used instead of compound 2. A blue solid was obtained (30.8 mg). Yield: 54.4%. Mp: >250 °C.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.53–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.26 (m, 2H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 5.00 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.5$  Hz), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.27 (d, 1H,  $J = 9.2$  Hz), 2.80 (s, 3H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 2.66 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H). MALDI-HRMS: calcd  $([C_{113}H_{45}N_5B_2F_4]^-)$   $m/z = 1569.3797$ , found  $m/z = 1569.3793$ . Anal. Calcd for C<sub>113</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>5</sub>B<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> + 0.25DMF: C, 86.01; H, 2.99; N, 4.62. Found: C, 86.02; H, 3.22; N, 4.62.

3.9. TTA Upconversion. A diode-pumped solid-state laser (532 and 589 nm, continues wave, CW) was used for the upconversions. The diameter of the laser spot was ca. 3 mm. For the upconversion experiments, the mixed solution of the fullerene dyads (triplet sensitizer) and perylene (triplet acceptor) was degassed for at least 15 min with  $N_2$  or Ar, and the gas flow was maintained during the measurement. Then the solution was excited with a laser. The upconverted fluorescence of perylene was observed with a spectrofluorometer. In order to repress the scattered laser, a black box was put behind the fluorescent cuvette to trap the laser beam after it passed through the cuvette. The photographs of the upconversion were taken with a Samsung NV5 CCD digital camera. The exposure time of the photos is 1 s (the default value of the digital camera under the experimental conditions).

The upconversion quantum yields  $(\Phi_{\text{UC}})$  were determined with the prompt fluorescence of 2,6-diiodo-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-8-phenyl-4,4 difluoroboradiazaindacene (iodo-BDP, structure shown in Scheme 1)  $(\Phi = 2.7\%$  in MeCN) and BisBDPI (structure shown in Scheme 1,  $\Phi_F$ ) = 10.5% in toluene) as the standards. The upconversion quant[um](#page-1-0) yields were calculated with eq 1, where  $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ ,  $A_{\text{unk}}$ ,  $I_{\text{unk}}$ , and  $\eta_{\text{unk}}$ represent the quantum yield, absorbance, integrated photolu[min](#page-1-0)escence intensity, and the refractive index of the solvents (eq 1). The equation is multiplied by factor 2 in order to make the maximum quantum yield unity.<sup>5a</sup>

$$
\Phi_{\text{UC}} = 2\Phi_{\text{std}} \left(\frac{A_{\text{std}}}{A_{\text{unk}}}\right) \left(\frac{I_{\text{unk}}}{I_{\text{std}}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\eta_{\text{unk}}}{\eta_{\text{std}}}\right)^2 \tag{1}
$$

For the measurement of the TTET efficiency, i.e., the Stern− Volmer quenching constants, the concentration of the sensitizer was fixed at 1.0  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> M, and the lifetime of the sensitizer was measured by LP920 with increasing perylene concentration in the solution.

The delayed fluorescence of the upconversion was measured with a nanosecond pulsed laser, Opolett 355II + UV nanosecond pulsed laser, typical pulse length: 7 ns. Pulse repetition: 20 Hz. Peak OPO energy: 4 mJ. The wavelength is tunable from 210 to 355 nm and from 420 to 2200 nm, which is synchronized to an FLS 920 spectrofluorometer. The pulsed laser was sufficient to sensitize the TTA upconversion. The decay kinetics of the upconverted fluorescence (delayed fluorescence) were monitored with an FLS920 spectrofluorometer (synchronized to the OPO nanosecond pulsed laser). The prompt fluorescence lifetime of the triplet acceptor perylene was measured with an EPL picoseconds pulsed laser (405 nm) which was synchronized to the FLS 920 spectrofluorometer.

3.10. DFT Calculations. The geometries of the compounds were optimized using density functional theory (DFT) with the B3LYP functional and  $6-31G(d)$  basis set. There are no imaginary frequencies for all optimized structures. The spin density surfaces of the dyads were calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. All calculations were performed with Gaussian 09W.<sup>41</sup>

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONT[EN](#page-7-0)T

### **6** Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, molecular structure characterization, additional spectra, and coordinates of the optimized geometries of C-1 and C-2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# ■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

# Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: zhaojzh@dlut.edu.cn.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no comp](mailto:zhaojzh@dlut.edu.cn)eting financial interest.

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the NSFC (20972024 and 21073028), the Royal Society (UK) and NSFC (China−UK Cost-Share Science Networks, 21011130154), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (DUT10ZD212), Ministry of Education (NCET-08-0077), and Dalian University of Technology for financial support.

### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Haase, M.; Schäfer, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5808– 5829. (b) Wang, F.; Deng, R.; Wang, J.; Wang, Q.; Han, Y.; Zhu, H.; Chen, X.; Liu, X. Nat. Mater. 2011, 10, 968−973. (c) Wenger, O. S.; Güdel, H. U. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 5747–5753. (d) Auzel, F. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 139−173. (e) Lüthi, S. R.; Pollnau, M.; Gü del, H. U.; Hehlen, M. P. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 60, 162−178. (f) Wenger, O. S.; Gamelin, D. R.; Gü del, H. U.; Butashin, A. V.; Kaminskii, A. A. Phys. Rev. B. 2000, 61, 16530−16537. (g) Niu, W.; Wu, S.; Zhang, S.; Li, L. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3908−3910.

(2) (a) Chen, Z.; Chen, H.; Hu, H.; Yu, M.; Li, F.; Zhang, Q.; Zhou, Z.; Yi, T.; Huang, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3023−3029. (b) Liu, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Q.; Li, C.; Sun, L.; Li, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15276−15279. (c) Liu, Q.; Sun, Y.; Yang, T.; Feng, W.; Li, C.; Li, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17122−17125. (d) Yu, M.; Li, F.; Chen, Z.; Hu, H.; Zhan, C.; Yang, H.; Huang, C. Anal. Chem. 2009, 81, 930− 935. (e) Xiong, L.; Chen, Z.; Tian, Q.; Cao, T.; Xu, C.; Li, F. Anal. Chem. 2009, 81, 8687−8694. (f) Liu, Q.; Sun, Y.; Li, C.; Zhou, J.; Li, C.; Yang, T.; Zhang, X.; Yi, T.; Wu, D.; Li, F. ACS Nano 2011, 5, 3146−3157. (g) Liu, Q.; Peng, J.; Sun, L.; Li, F. ACS Nano 2011, 5, 8040−8048.

(3) Kim, H. M.; Cho, B. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 863−872.

(4) Fahrni, C. J. Rev. Fluoresc. 2007, 249−269.

(5) (a) Singh-Rachford, T. N.; Castellano, F. N. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 2560−2573. (b) Khnayzer, R. S.; Blumhoff, J.; Harrington,

### <span id="page-7-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article**

J. A.; Haefele, A.; Deng, F.; Castellano, F. N.. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 209−211.

(6) Zhao, J.; Ji, S.; Guo, H. RSC Adv. 2011, 1, 937−950.

(7) (a) Ceroni, P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9560-9564. (b) Bergamini, G.; Ceroni, P.; Fabbrizib, P.; Cicchi, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12780−12782.

(8) Sun, J.; Wu, W.; Guo, H.; Zhao, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 3165−3173.

(9) (a) Monguzzi, A.; Frigoli, M.; Larpent, C.; Tubino, R.; Meinardi., F. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 139−143. (b) Lissau, J. S.; Gardner, J. M.; Morandeira, A. J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 23226−23232. (c) Cheng, Y. Y.; Fueckel, B.; MacQueen, R. W.; Khoury, T; R. Clady, G. R. C.; Schulze, T. F.; Ekins-Daukes, N.; Crossley, M. J.; Stannowski, B.; Lips, K.; Schmidt., T. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 6953−6959. (d) Cheng, Y. Y.; Khoury, T.; Clady, R. G. C. R.; Tayebjee, M. J. Y.; Ekins-Daukes, N. J.; Crossley, M. J.; Schmidt, T. W. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2010, 12, 66−71.

(10) (a) Singh-Rachford, T. N.; Nayak, A.; Muro-Small, M. L.; Goeb, S.; Therien, M. J.; Castellano, F. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14203−14211. (b) Liu, Q.; Yang, T.; Feng, W.; Li, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5390−5397.

(11) Ji, S.; Wu, W.; Wu, W.; Guo, H.; Zhao, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1626−1629.

(12) Ji, S.; Guo, H.; Wu, W.; Wu, W.; Zhao, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8283−8286.

(13) (a) Wu, W.; Guo, H.; Wu, W.; Ji, S.; Zhao, J. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7056−7064. (b) Guo, S.; Wu, W.; Guo, H.; Zhao, J. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3933−3943.

(14) Baluschev, S.; Yakutkin, V.; Miteva, T.; Avlasevich, Y.; Chernov, S.; Aleshchenkov, S.; Nelles, G.; Cheprakov, A.; Yasuda, A.; Müllen,

K.; Wegner, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7693−7696.

(15) Singh-Rachford, T. N.; Castellano, F. N. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 2541−2548.

(16) Singh-Rachford, T. N.; Castellano, F. N. J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 3550−3556.

(17) Islangulov, R. R.; Lott, J.; Weder, C.; Castellano, F. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12652−12653.

(18) Islangulov, R. R.; Kozlov, D. V.; Castellano, F. N. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3776−3778.

(19) Wu, W.; Wu, W.; Ji, S.; Guo, H.; Zhao, J. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 5953−5963.

(20) Wu, W.; Sun, J.; Ji, S.; Wu, W.; Zhao, J.; Guo, H. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 11550−11561.

(21) Zhao, W.; Castellano, F. N. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 11440− 11445.

(22) Du, P.; Eisenberg, R. Chem. Sci. 2010, 1, 502−506.

(23) Sun, H.; Guo, H.; Wu, W.; Liu, X.; Zhao, J. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 7834−7841.

(24) Kim, S.; Lee, J. K.; Kang, S. O.; Ko, J.; Yum, J.-H.; Fantacci, S.; De Angelis, F.; Di Censo, D.; Nazeeruddin, M. K.; Grätzel, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16701−16707.

(25) Qin, H.; Wenger, S.; Xu, M.; Gao, F.; Jing, X.; Wang, P.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Grätzel, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9202− 9203.

(26) Horiuchi, T.; Miura, H.; Sumioka, K.; Uchida, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12218−12219.

(27) Ning, Z.; Tian, H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5483−5495.

(28) Turro, N. J.; Ramamurthy, V.; Scaiano, J. C. Principles of Molecular Photochemistry: An Introduction; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2009.

(29) Singh-Rachford, T. N.; Castellano, F. N. J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 5912−5917.

(30) (a) Chen, H.-C.; Hung, C.-Y.; Wang, K.-H.; Chen, H.-L.; Fann, W. S.; Chien, F.-C.; Chen, P.; Chow, T. J.; Hsu, C.-P.; Sun, S.-S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4064−4066. (b) Haga, N.; Takayanagi, H.; Tokumaru., K. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3734−3743.

(31) Arbogast, J. W.; Darmanyan, A. P.; Foote, C. S.; Rubin, Y.; Diederich, F. N.; Alvarez, M. M.; Anz, S. J.; Whetten, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 11−12.

(32) (a) Ziessel, R.; Allen, B. D.; Rewinska, D. B.; Harriman, A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 7382–7393. (b) Chamberlain, T. W.; Davies, E. S.; Khlobystov, A. N.; Champness, N. R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 3759−3767.

(33) Gonzalez-Rodríguez, D.; Torres, T.; Guldi, D. M.; Rivera, J.; ́ Herranz, M. Á.; Echegoyen, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6301− 6313.

(34) (a) Nagl, S.; Baleizão, C.; Borisov, S. M.; Schäferling, M.; Berberan-Santos, M. N.; Wolfbeis., O. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2317−2319. (b) de Miguel, G.; Wielopolski, M.; Schuster, D. I.; Fazio, M. A.; Lee, O. P.; Haley, C. K.; Ortiz, A. L.; Echegoyen, L.; Clark, T.; Guldi, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 13036−13054.

(35) (a) El-Khouly, M. E.; Shim, S. H.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O.; Kay, K.-Y. J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 3910−3917. (b) Liu, J.-Y.; El-Khouly, M. E.; Fukuzumi, S.; Ng, D. K.P. Chem. Asian J. 2011, 6, 174−179. (c) Chiang, L. Y.; Padmawar, P. A.; Rogers-Haley, J. E.; So, G.; Canteenwala, T.; Thota, S.; Tan, L.-S.; Pritzker, K.; Huang, Y.-Y.; Sharma, S. K.; Kurup, D. B.; Hamblin, M. R.; Wilsone, B.; Urbas, A. J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 5280−5293.

(36) (a) Chang, C.-Y.; Wu, C.-E.; Chen, S.-Y.; Cui, C.; Cheng, Y.-J.; Hsu, C.-S.; Wang, Y.-L.; Li, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9386− 9390. (b) Xu, Z.; Cotlet, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6079− 6083. (c) Varotto, A.; Treat, N. D.; Jo, J.; Shuttle, C. G.; Batara, N. A.; Brunetti, F. G.; Seo, J. H.; Chabinyc, M. L.; Hawker, C. J.; Heeger, A. J.; Wudl, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5166−5169. (d) Canevet, D.; Pérez, E. M.; Martín, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9248− 9259. (e) Hahn, U.; Elhabiri, M.; Trabolsi, A.; Herschbach, H.; Leize, E.; Van Dorsselaer, A.; Albrecht-Gary, A.-M.; Nierengarten, J.-F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5338−5341. (f) Nierengarten, J.-F.; Schall, C.; Nicoud, J.-F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1934−1936. (37) (a) Zhang, X.; Xiao, Y.; Qian, X. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 29−32. (b) Ziessel, R.; Harriman, A. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 611−631. (c) Coskun, A.; Akkaya, E. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10464− 10465. (d) Coskun, A.; Akkaya, E. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14474−14475. (e) Bozdemir, O. A.; Erbas-Cakmak, S.; Ekiz, O. O.; Dana, A.; Akkaya, E. U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10907− 10912.

(38) (a) Ulrich, G.; Ziessel, R.; Harriman, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1184−1201. (b) Lager, E.; Liu, J.; Aguilar-Aguilar, A.; Tang, B. Z.; Peña-Cabrera, E. J. O*rg. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 2053−2058. (c) Hu, R.; Lager, E.; Aguilar-Aguilar, A.; Liu, J.; Lam, J. W. Y.; Sung, H. H. Y.; Williams, I. D.; Zhong, Y.; Wong, K. S.; Peñ a-Cabrera, E.; Tang, B. Z. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 15845−15853. (d) Loudet, A.; Burgess, K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4891−4932.

(39) Guldi, D. M.; Asmus, K.-D. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 1472− 1481.

(40) Sauvé, G.; Dimitrijevic, N. M.; Kamat, P. V. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 1199−1203.

(41) Frisch, M. J., et al. Gaussian 09, Revision 01; Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.